Approved as Submitted: May 5, 2004

### CITY OF MORGAN HILL JOINT SPECIAL AND REGULAR CITY COUNCIL AND SPECIAL REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY MEETING MINUTES – APRIL 21, 2004

### **CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor/Chairman Kennedy called the special meeting to order at 5:04 p.m.

### **ROLL CALL ATTENDANCE**

Present: Mayor/Chairman Kennedy, Council/Agency Members Carr, Chang, Sellers, Tate

### DECLARATION OF POSTING OF AGENDA

Deputy City Clerk/Agency Secretary Malone certified that the meeting's agenda was duly noticed and posted in accordance with Government Code 54954.2.

### Redevelopment Agency Action

### **WORKSHOP:**

### 1. WALNUT GROVE PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD) WORKSHOP

Business Assistance and Housing Manager Maskell presented the staff report as included in the Council agenda packet, and distributed copies of the power point presentation to be given during the workshop. Refer to the agenda packet staff report on file in the City Clerk's office to view copies of Options A, A1, B and C, as discussed hereafter.

Ms. Maskell stated that the property owners in the area have stated a preference for Options A and A1, and requested that the Council provide a prioritization of proposed site concepts, allowing staff the latitude to move forward with the next in line of priority if the original becomes unworkable.

She also stated that staff is looking for direction from Council on setting parameters for buffer areas and preliminary thoughts on possible design themes for the community area to be presented by the consultant.

Mark Sumpf of ROEL was present and introduced Mr. Rick Cartel of Autopilot Development Services, stating that Mr. Cartel has experience with over 250 auto dealerships and 25 auto malls.

Mr. Cartel stated that his intent would be to present the positive impacts on the city that such a development can provide. He proceeded with the PowerPoint presentation, which demonstrated both the negative and the positive types of design elements that can occur in such a development. He stated that for the PUD to be successful in attracting auto dealerships, it must allow a competitive environment where buyers can easily view the cars for sale on display pads, but also keep the displays under control.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 2 –

Other aspects that need to be addressed are parking for buyers, uniformity of signage, storage areas for auto inventory, landscaping, and buffers between the dealerships and the abutting residential areas.

He briefly reviewed all four options as presented in the staff report, and pointed out the differences in layout, road configuration, utilization of various pieces of the property, traffic patterns, and buffering provided.

Mr. Cartel stated that Option C provides maximum flexibility and maximum utilization of the property from the viewpoint of dealership use. It also provides maximum frontage for display purposes and best circulation of traffic on the loop configuration of the private road within the property.

In response to a question by Council Member Tate, he explained that Option B contains a private drive alley that would allow display of automobiles. It also brings the buildings closer to the street rather than placing a large parking lot in front, creating more of a "village" atmosphere.

Vice-Chair Sellers stated he was pleased to see that there were flex sites included in the planning, and asked if the property to the north of the current Chevrolet dealership would have a use that would have a lower impact on the residential areas. He also asked why Mr. Cartel was promoting Option C, when Option A1 was liked by the property owners.

Mr. Cartel explained that the north location is planned for vehicle storage only, which would be a low impact use, with a sound wall to buffer the noise from both the dealership and the freeway for the residential area. Customers would not enter this area unless accompanied by a sales person. The location is very visible from the freeway, which adds great value for a dealership. Also, having a place to park inventory is key to selling cars. The flex sites located closer to Dunne would be ideal for another dealership, and the remaining site would be ideal for a transition use. He responded that he prefers Option C because he is looking for the option that maximizes the marketability to the automotive community at large. However, the other options would also work fine; and it is up to the community to decide what will work best for them.

Vice-Chair Sellers asked if the selection of Option A or B would impact the marketability of the site to dealerships and affect the ability to get them in sooner rather than later.

Mr. Cartel responded that we have a unique situation because there is more demand than supply. If a 100 acre auto site is being developed, you would want to include the best possible features to market it.

Chairman Kennedy stated that one of the objectives is to find what works for the neighbors. He would prefer to see a berm used in the landscape buffer so only landscaping is seen and not the wall.

Mr. Cartel stated that the landscape buffer area is 20 feet wide, with a 6 foot wide trail, and walls on both sides; with a screen wall and ornamental plantings to avoid a prison wall effect.

Chairman Kennedy opened the public comment.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 3 –

Frank Derose and his sister Denise Derose introduced themselves as owners of the central parcel in the PUD, and stated their preference for Option A or A1, with highest preference for A because A1 is more ambitious. He stated that the views for those exiting the KFC and Chevron would be ideal with Option A; and that both Options A and A1 have easy access on Walnut Grove. Option B, however, has a much more difficult access and egress because traffic for the KFC and Chevron would go from a private road onto a public road and then onto a private road again. He suggested that the owners of the KFC and Chevron properties have their opinion solicited. He stated that they are also opposed to Option B because it would require them to dedicate and rebuild a road that they have already dedicated and built once for the current street. In summary, they like A and A1, but prefer A; and Oppose B and C, but feel more strongly about B than C.

John Anderson of Courtesy Chevrolet stated that he supports Option A1, and would use the property north of the dealership for storage only. He stated he would like to be able to use the entire block of property.

Chuck Dillman stated that his opposition is because of process issues. All the property being discussed is zoned as Residential in the General Plan, which was set up to consider needs. He is concerned that the Council is willing to throw that out. He stated his concern about the amount of money being spent on the development of this proposal during difficult budget times, and that he had not heard of any demand for this type of use. He stated that this does not seem to be a plan built on a firm foundation, and is contrary to the principle of the General Plan and the oft stated position of the Council on that issue.

No comments being offered, the public comment was closed.

Vice-Chair Sellers asked what the next steps would be and how the neighbors would be contacted for their input.

Ms. Maskell responded that there are meetings planned for May and June and that property owners would be notified.

She noted that she had received an e-mail from Mr. Randy Toch requesting Option A.

Agency Member Tate asked how this project compares with the General Plan and what would have to be changed. He requested an exhibit be prepared and Executive Director Tewes responded that he would direct staff to prepare such an exhibit for the Agency Board.

Agency Member Carr stated he had the same issue as Agency Member Tate. He also would like further information on the connection of Walnut Grove all the way from Dunne to Diana and how this will affect the neighborhood. He would like to see examples of similar situations.

Agency Member Chang stated that her concern is that the buffer area is not large enough, and remembers that there was great concern expressed by the neighborhood when the current dealership was first built.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 4 –

Chairman Kennedy stated that he prefers Option A1, and agrees that the buffering needs to be reviewed. Ms. Maskell stated that the next step will be to present the selected concepts to the neighborhood in May and then come back the Agency Board in June. The environmental impact report work will be completed on the Option chosen, and staff will proceed with steps to amend the existing PUD and change the zoning to make the use compatible.

Agency Member Tate said his preference is between A and A1, depending on the General Plan impact. He stated he has no problem with the northerly expansion if it is acceptable to the neighborhood.

Mr. Cartel asked if the Agency Board is in favor of inclusion of the flex spaces as part of the overall concept.

Agency Member Sellers stated that it is important to let the public know where the plan is going. Flex spaces are fine for discussion and planning, but they may be ultimately removed.

Ms. Derose stated that there may be strong opposition if A1 with flex spaces is chosen.

Vice Chair Sellers stated that he still has lots of questions to be answered. He wanted to know the facts on the statement that "demand far exceeds supply"; how will this be marketed; what are the relative benefits of the Anderson expansion; how can the city maximize its revenue; what are the economic impacts between the various options; and what are the concerns expressed by the neighbors. At this time, he is not ready to choose an Option.

Agency Member Carr stated that he would like staff to continue the dialogue with the neighborhood; and that the Board has more questions to be answered and discussion to occur before a choice can be made among the options presented.

Ms. Maskell asked if the direction of the Board is to keep all of the options.

Agency Member Carr responded that he has not eliminated any of the options in his mind, and felt that more discussion is needed.

Chairman Kennedy stated that it seems that Options B and C are more internal alternatives that would not affect the neighborhood; and that A or A1 affects them. He wanted all four Options presented to neighborhood.

This was the consensus of the Agency Board.

#### Action:

After review and discussion of the four site design concepts, the Agency Board <u>Directed</u> staff to present all four alternatives of the Site Design Concept to the Diana Avenue Neighborhood and receive their input. The Agency Board also stated that they would like to have another opportunity to review and discuss these alternatives before a final choice on site design is made.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 5 –

\_\_\_\_\_

### City Council and Redevelopment Agency Action

### **CLOSED SESSIONS:**

1.

#### **CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - ANTICIPATED LITIGATION**

Authority: Government Code Sections 54956.9(b) & (c)

Number of Potential Cases: 4

2.

### PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Legal Authority Government Code 54957

Public Employee Performance Evaluation: City Manager

Attendees: City Council, City Manager

### **OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT**

Mayor/Chairman Kennedy opened the Closed Session items to public comment. No comments were offered.

### **ADJOURN TO CLOSED SESSION**

Mayor/Chairman Kennedy adjourned the meeting to Closed Session at 6:10 p.m.

#### **RECONVENE**

Mayor/Chairman Kennedy reconvened the meeting at 7:04 p.m.

### **CLOSED SESSION ANNOUNCEMENT**

City Attorney/Agency Counsel Leichter announced that there were no reportable actions.

### **SILENT INVOCATION**

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

At the invitation of Mayor/Chairman Kennedy, Mr. Fred Domino, of the Morgan Hill Sister Cities Association, led the Pledge of Allegiance.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 6 –

### **RECOGNITIONS**

Mayor/Chairman Kennedy addressed the assembly regarding the recent loss of four of Morgan Hill's citizens, who had dedicated themselves to serve the community through participation in the public arena: Bonnie Leonetti, Mas Minami, Rae Skeels Parker, and Marie Skinner. He presented Certificates of Recognition in the name of each for their many years of dedicated service to the people of Morgan Hill.

Mayor/Chairman Kennedy expressed his heartfelt sadness and regret at the passing of these wonderful friends and servants of the Morgan Hill community.

#### **PRESENTATION**

Dr. David Ting of the California Environmental Protection Agency - Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, made a presentation to the City Council on the subject of "The Public Health Goal for Perchlorate in Drinking Water".

Topics covered in his presentation included: mode of action of perchlorate; sensitive individuals; critical endpoint and human data; perchlorate public health goal; and state and federal regulatory processes.

Mode of action: perchlorate affects thyroid function and causes other side effects at high doses. At doses relevant to environmental exposures the main health concern is disruption of thyroid hormone balance. Perchlorate interferes with the uptake of iodide in blood. In healthy adults, the thyroid has enough iodide stored to last for several weeks. Prolonged exposure causes a decrease in thyroid hormone secretion, which stresses the thyroid. Most people in California have a sufficient amount of iodide stored in their thyroid. The agency's goal is to protect all individuals, which includes those who may be deficient in iodide, pregnant women, lactating women, fetuses and infants, and individuals with impaired thyroid function.

The study's goal was to find a level that prevented interference with the uptake of iodide. Tests were run using human subjects drinking contaminated water to see the effect on their thyroid serum secretion. There was no change in this secretion, but there was a change in iodide uptake.

Perchlorate is not metabolized by the body and over 85% is excreted within 24 hours. Reduction of iodide uptake is reversible in short-term studies. Limited clinical and occupational studies done to date do not show long-term effects of perchlorate exposure. A sufficiently low dose does not interfere with the uptake of iodide into the thyroid.

Calculation of State PHG (Public Health Goal) worked out to 6ppb. This is the current state action level for perchlorate. The Federal EPA 2002 risk assessment set the level at 1 ppb, but that is now under review. That study was done on rats, not humans.

Further Information is available at website www.oehha.ca.gov

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 7 –

Council Member Chang questioned why the state action level was changed from 4 to 6 ppb.

Dr. Ting responded that the State level used to be 18 ppb. In 2002 the Federal EPA set a risk assessment of 1ppb after a study using rats as test subjects. Because of that reason, the State lowered their action level to 4ppb because this was the detection limit, and a commercial lab would have difficultly detecting less than 4ppb at that time. The change from 4ppb to 6ppb was done based on this study using human subjects, since human studies are considered to be a better indicator than previous animal studies.

Council Member Tate asked why the number 10 was chosen in the formula for the denominator, when the Federal EPA had used 300.

Dr. Ting responded that the formula had been reviewed several times, and based on criticism, they reduced their denominator to 10 from 30. EPA used a larger factor because they relied on animal studies rather than human. Humans may be more sensitive than animals, so for safety they apply a factor of 10. EPA had included several other factors to arrive at denominator of 300.

Council Member Tate responded that the City has been going by the 4ppb which is measured at the well, but that the level coming from the tap may not be as high as what is at the well because it is far from the source and there is mixing of water before it gets to the tap. This adds a safety factor to the measurement the city is currently using.

Council Member Chang asked if the 1ppb and 6ppb are more firm numbers of measurement than 4ppb because they were established thru scientific testing, whereas the 4ppb was only because it is the lowest number detectable.

Dr. Ting stated that the history of development of these standards is important. Before 2002 there was no official or authoritative assessment available to develop drinking water levels. In 2002 a draft risk assessment was released by the Federal EPA which set the level at 1ppb. There was no Public Health Goal (PHG) in existence at that time, and the Department of Health Services lowered the action level accordingly. Since the detection level was at 4ppb, the level was set at that point. The Federal study is still in draft and still under appeal and probably won't be finalized for another year or two. Comments are still being submitted that will need to be included. The State study is finalized, and he believes it is accurate.

Council Member Sellers asked if the low number of 10 in the denominator provides a built in safety factor.

Dr. Ting responded that he does consider it to provide a margin of safety. The intent was to protect all Californians and have a sufficient margin of safety, and he feels that was accomplished. The formula number used is half of the lowest dose ingested in the study. The factor of 10 is just to be sure that they have allowed for the most vulnerable who may be exposed.

Chairman Kennedy asked why the State number is used instead of the Federal number.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 8 –

Dr. Ting stated that as a scientist he does his best to come up with a best estimate that is defensible. He is here to explain his justification and the factors involved in development of the public health goal and hopefully it makes sense. State number is just a goal, not a regulatory number. It will be used to develop a state MCL, which cannot be higher than federal MCL. It may take 4-5 years to develop these numbers. When EPA review is completed, the State will study and revisit this issue if the conclusion is relevant to their study.

Council Member Carr stated that the MCL takes into account other things besides toxicity, and asked if when the MCL level is set, will it be the determining factor of when we stop providing water to residents?

Dr. Ting stated that by law his agency is forbidden to consider economic factors in development PHG. It is the responsibility of the Department of Health Services (DHS) to develop the state MCL. In doing so, they will use the PHG as input, and will set the MCL as close to the PHG as possible after considering economic and engineering feasibility. DHS has an incentive to reach the PHG unless other constraints keep them from reaching that goal. They can set it higher if they have good reasons.

Council Member Carr stated that the city is required to test at wellhead, and the PHG is applied to that sample. If testing were done at the tap on what is actually being consumed instead of at the well, would this number still apply?

Dr. Ting stated that taking samples at the tap comes down to resources and costs. For perchlorate, the most effective way to test is at the well. He sees no advantage in doing it at the tap. Perchlorate is not like lead, which has to be measured at the tap, because it does not break down and does not change from source to tap. If you have a strong reason you can do it that way.

Mayor Kennedy commented that it is likely that levels would be lower at the tap than at the well because of the network of water supplies that are blended in the pipes. He thanked Dr. Ting for coming and stated that the Council may wish to have him return at a future date.

Vice Mayor Sellers asked staff to create a link from the city's website to Dr. Ting's website so citizens can access it more easily.

City Manager Tewes stated that the website of morganhill.ca.gov is linked to Dr. Ting's full report and this presentation will be placed on website as well.

### **CITY COUNCIL REPORT**

Mayor Pro Tempore Sellers reported on a tour of other downtown communities that he took with Mayor Kennedy yesterday. They saw some very exciting and creative ideas being employed during their visits to Oakland, San Mateo and Palo Alto. All three had fascinating tours given by people that work there every day. The Downtown Report will incorporate several of those ideas, and he hopes to come back to the Council with this report in the next few weeks.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 9 –

### **CITY MANAGER REPORT**

City Manager Tewes stated he had no report this evening.

### **CITY ATTORNEY REPORT**

City Attorney Leichter stated she had no report this evening.

### **OTHER REPORTS**

None.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Mayor/Chairman Kennedy opened the floor to public comments for items not appearing on this evening's agenda.

Fred Domino spoke regarding the Jazz on the Green fundraiser to be held to raise funds for the Live Oak band's tour of our Sister Cities, and asked for support of the community for this event.

Mark Grzan expressed his concern regarding Dr. Ting's study that 37 people are not representative of the population of California or Morgan Hill. How can these perchlorate levels be set based on only 37 people. He also stated that we have high degree of nitrates in our water supply and has seen no study considering that. He doesn't like any toxic substance in the water supply. There are those who may react even at levels considered safe. Once we start accepting 4ppb or 6ppb we put members of our community at risk. He would never accept any toxins in water at any level. We seem to be compromising values and assuming it is safe when still not proven. He would rather see us err on side of caution and say that it is not safe at any level.

Belinda Rianda asked why the perchlorate study had not included immune compromised and geriatric populations in the study, considering both conditions cause stress on the thyroid. What study did they use to model the reversibility of iodide reduction effect following chronic exposure? A two week study could never extrapolate chronic exposure.

Mayor Kennedy suggested that maybe during the break she could talk with Dr. Ting.

Dr. Ting responded that once the MCL is established, systems exceeding the MCL are required to notify DHS, remove water from service, and bring water into compliance. In response to Ms. Rianda's question, he stated that he recognizes the limitation of the study, and that no study is perfect. However, it covers a nice dose range and there are two other studies with fewer subjects and less rigorous design giving the same information, so it is not a stand alone study. This study was chosen after reviewing many other studies, so it was not chosen in a vacuum. The reversibility of iodide uptake into thyroid was part of the study. Before any exposure happened, they measured iodide uptake in the subjects. Then subjects drank perchlorate contaminated water for 14 days, and then measured iodide uptake again.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 10 –

The difference shows any reduction of iodide uptake. Then subjects stopped drinking contaminated water for a 14 day recovery period, and were measured again for a third time. What they found was the third time measurement was about the same as the first time, and in some instance even higher in uptake, which showed that the process was reversible. Ideally they would have liked to have had more subjects with immune deficiencies, but that was not practical because of obvious ethical and logistical problems.

### City Council Action

#### **CONSENT CALENDAR:**

Action: On a motion by Council Member Carr and seconded by Council Member Sellers, the City

Council unanimously (5-0) Approved Consent Calendar Items 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11-16, 18-

29, as follows:

### 2. RESIGNATION OF A PARKS & RECREATION COMMISSIONER

Mayor Pro Tempore Sellers asked for this item to be considered separately on the Consent Calendar in order to recognize Mr. Page for his service to the community.

Action: On a motion by Council Member Sellers and seconded by Council Member Tate, the City

Council unanimously (5-0) <u>Accepted</u> Rick Page's Notice of Resignation from the Parks &

Recreation Commission.

## 3. <u>CALTRAIN ELECTRIFICATION PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT</u> (EIR)

Action: Authorized City Manager to Submit Comments.

#### 4. <u>SUPPLEMENTAL LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES FUND</u>

<u>Action:</u> <u>Approved</u> the Fiscal Year 2003-2004 Spending Plan for the Supplemental Law Enforcement Services Fund.

### 5. PROPERTY USE AGREEMENT WITH AT&T WIRELESS

Mayor Pro Tempore Sellers asked for this item to be considered separately on the Consent Calendar. He asked if the new configuration of the towers will alter the impact on the community.

Assistant to the City Manager Dile responded that AT&T is proposing an additional four antennas, but they will be placed closer to the ground. Some of the clutter will be removed from the large pole, but it will remain because it is needed by the City and Valley Transportation Authority for their needs. She reported that the Planning Commission reviewed the project and felt the visual impact had been reduced. They have directed that the paint color of the installation blend with the surroundings. She stated that though she is not intimately acquainted with details, and is only familiar with the visual impact of the project, she does not believe there should be any impact on the community from the new installations. The new installation does improve coverage for AT&T.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 11 –

Action:

On a motion by Council Member Sellers and seconded by Council Member Carr, the City Council unanimously (5-0) <u>Authorized</u> the City Manager to Execute a Property Use Agreement with AT&T Wireless for the Purpose of Operating a Telecommunications Facility at the El Toro Water Tank Site.

# 6. MARCH 2004 FINANCE & INVESTMENT REPORT Action: Accepted and Filed Report.

#### 7. AQUATICS CENTER PROJECT – MARCH CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS REPORT

Council Member Tate asked for this item to be considered separately on the Consent Calendar to allow Project Manager Ritter to address the Council.

Project Manager Ritter reported that he is very excited about the progress being made on the Aquatics Center. While there is much to be completed, he is confident that all will be done by the contract completion date of May 24, with the Grand Opening Ceremony being held on the weekend of June 12-13, 2004.

In response to Council inquiries, he reported that the asphalt will be installed on Condit and Barrett on May 26; the Deck pour will be completed on May 28-29; and the plaza on May 3-5.

He also stated that bricks purchased through the Aquatics Foundation as a fundraiser will be created and installed shortly before the Grand Opening. He stated that if anyone wants to have such a brick with their name on it, they should immediately contact the Aquatics Foundation or the Community Center at 782-008 for information on how to purchase a brick to support the Aquatics Center.

Action: On a motion by Council Member Tate and seconded by Council Member Carr, the City Council unanimously (5-0) Accepted Report.

8. <u>APPROVE PURCHASE ORDER FOR FURNITURE AT THE AQUATICS CENTER</u>

<u>Action: Authorized</u> the City Manager to Execute a Purchase Order in the Amount of \$60,894.11 with OPI (Office Produces & Interiors) for Furniture at the Aquatics Center.

### 9. DONATION FROM HOWARD LEWIS FOR AQUATICS CENTER Action: Accorded Donation from Howard Lawis in the Amount of \$1,500 for the

<u>Action:</u> <u>Accepted</u> Donation from Howard Lewis in the Amount of \$1,500 for the Purchase of Lifeguard Tower.

Council Member Tate asked for this item to be considered separately on the Consent Calendar so that the Council could acknowledge and thank Mr. Lewis for his donation.

Action: On a motion by Council Member Tate and seconded by Council Member Sellers, the City Council unanimously (5-0) Accepted the Donation from Howard Lewis in the Amount of \$1,500 for the Purchase of Lifeguard Tower.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 12 –

# 10. <u>AMENDMENT TO AGREEMENT WITH VALLEY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY</u> (VTA) FOR SHELTER ADVERTISING PROGRAM

Action: Approved Amendment No. 5 to the VTA Shelter Advertising Program.

# 11. <u>ADOPT A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL'S</u> <u>COMMITMENT TO BEING A BICYCLE FRIENDLY COMMUNITY</u>

<u>Action:</u> <u>Adopted</u> Resolution No. 5785 Declaring the City of Morgan Hill's Commitment to Being a Bicycle and Trails Friendly Community.

### 12. FINAL MAP ACCEPTANCE FOR CENTRAL PARK PHASE VII (TRACT 9562)

<u>Action:</u> 1) <u>Approved</u> the Final Map, Subdivision Agreement, and Improvement Plans; 2) <u>Authorized</u> the City Manager to sign the Subdivision Improvement Agreement on Behalf of the City; 3) <u>Authorized</u> the Recordation of the Map and the Subdivision Improvement Agreement Following Recordation of the Development Improvement Agreement.

# 13. <u>APPROVAL OF SUBDIVISION AGREEMENT FOR COYOTE ESTATES PHASE VIII</u> (TRACT 9567)

<u>Action:</u> 1) <u>Authorized</u> the City Manager to Sign the Subdivision Improvement Agreement on Behalf of the City; 2) <u>Authorized</u> the Recordation of the Map and the Subdivision Improvement Agreement Following Recordation of the Development Improvement Agreement.

# 14. <u>AMENDMENT TO CONSULTANT SERVICES AGREEMENT FOR JACKSON OAKS BOOSTER STATION</u>

<u>Action:</u> <u>Approved</u> Amendment to the Agreement with Freitas Engineering for Design and Construction Services on the Jackson Oaks Booster Station, Increasing the Contract Amount by \$13,500 and Extending the Completion Date to December 31, 2005.

# 15. <u>AMENDMENT TO AGREEMENT WITH THE LAW OFFICES OF ROGER BEERS</u> <u>Action: Authorized</u> the City Manager to Execute an Amended Agreement with the Law Offices of Roger Beers.

# 16. <u>AWARD CONTRACT FOR CITY OF MORGAN HILL AUDIT SERVICES FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDING JUNE 30, 2004, 2005 AND 2006</u>

<u>Action: Authorized</u> the City Manager to Execute a Contract, Not to Exceed \$45,107, for Annual Audit Services Provided by Moss, Levy and Hartzheim for the three years ending June 30, 2004, 2005, and 2006.

### 17. <u>DEDICATION OF POLICE FACILITY AT 16200 VINEYARD BOULEVARD</u>

Council Member Sellers asked for this item to be considered separately on the Consent Calendar. He asked that the minutes note that the Council had recently adopted a Council Policy regarding the naming of City facilities, and that this recommendation is entirely appropriate under that Council Policy.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 13 –

Police Lieutenant Booten reported that John R. Moreno was an individual who contributed so much to the betterment of this community that it is very appropriate that the Council dedicate the new City of Morgan Hill Police Facility in his name; and that the dedication of the new facility to Mr. Moreno would be entirely in keeping with the guidelines the Council has established for the naming of public facilities.

Action:

On a motion by Council Member Sellers and seconded by Council Member Tate, the City Council unanimously (5-0) <u>Authorized</u> the Dedication of the new Police Facility, located at 16200 Vineyard Boulevard, in the name of Chief John R. Moreno.

### 18. ADOPT ORDINANCE NO. 1666, NEW SERIES

Action: Waived the Reading, and Adopted Ordinance No. 1666, New Series, and Declared That Said Title, Which Appears on the Public Agenda, Shall be Determined to Have Been Read by title and Further Reading Waived; Title as Follows: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL APPROVING A ZONING AMENDMENT FROM R-2 3,500 TO R-2 3,500/RPD RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY AND ADOPTION OF A PRECISE DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR A ONE ACRE PARCEL LOCATED ON THE NORTH WEST CORNER OF WATSONVILLE ROAD AND CALLE SUENO (APPLICATION ZA-03-10: WATSONVILLE – SOUTH COUNTY HOUSING APN 767-23-017).

### 19. ADOPT ORDINANCE NO. 1667, NEW SERIES

Action: Waived the Reading, and Adopted Ordinance No. 1667, New Series, and Declared That Said Title, Which Appears on the Public Agenda, Shall be Determined to Have Been Read by title and Further Reading Waived; Title as Follows: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL APPROVING DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, DA 03-06 FOR MP 02-26: WATSONVILLE- SOUTH COUNTY HOUSING (APN 767-23-017).

#### **20.** ADOPT ORDINANCE NO. 1669, NEW SERIES

Action: Waived the Reading, and Adopted Ordinance No. 1669, New Series, and Declared That Said Title, Which Appears on the Public Agenda, Shall be Determined to Have Been Read by title and Further Reading Waived; Title as Follows: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL AMENDING THE ZONING FOR 120 WRIGHT AVENUE, A 8240 SQUARE FOOT LOT FROM R1-7,000 TO R3 AND AMENDING THE ZONING FOR 4.3 ACRES OF AN 8.3 ACRE LOT LOCATED ON MONTEREY ROAD APPROXIMATELY 700 FEET NORTH OF WATSONVILLE ROAD FROM R3 TO R2-3,500. (APN 764-14-003 & APN 767-23-016, ZA-04-03: CITY MORGAN HILL-ZONING MAP CORRECTIONS).

### 21. ADOPT ORDINANCE NO. 1670, NEW SERIES

<u>Action: Waived</u> the Reading, and <u>Adopted</u> Ordinance No. 1670, New Series, and <u>Declared</u> That Said Title, Which Appears on the Public Agenda, Shall be Determined to Have Been Read by title and Further Reading Waived; Title as Follows: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCILOF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL APPROVING A ZONING AMENDMENT

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 14 –

FROM MULTI FAMILY MEDIUM R-3 TO PUBLIC FACILITIES, PF FOR APPLICATION ZA-02-08: BUTTERFIELD-CITY OF MORGAN HILL REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY - COURT HOUSE (APN 726-12-006).

### 22. ADOPT ORDINANCE NO. 1671, NEW SERIES

<u>Action: Waived</u> the Reading, and <u>Adopted</u> Ordinance No. 1671, New Series, and <u>Declared</u> That Said Title, Which Appears on the Public Agenda, Shall be Determined to Have Been Read by title and Further Reading Waived; Title as Follows: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL APPROVING A ZONING AMENDMENT FROM R2-3,500 TO PUBLIC FACILITIES ON AN 8.49-ACRE SITE LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF EDMUNDSON AVENUE AT THE SOUTHEAST SIDE OF COMMUNITY PARK FOR APPLICATION ZA-02-10: EDMUNDSON – MORGAN HILL REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY-INDOOR RECREATION CENTER (IRC) (APNS 767-18-025 & -037).

- 23. <u>APPROVED MINUTES FOR SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 7, 2004</u>
- 24. <u>APPROVED MINUTES FOR SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING TOUR OF AQUATICS CENTER CONSTRUCTION SITE OF APRIL 14, 2004</u>
- 25. APPROVED MINUTES FOR SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 14, 2004

### City Council and Redevelopment Agency Action

### **CONSENT CALENDAR:**

Action: On a motion by Council/Agency Member and seconded by Council/Agency Member, the City Council/Agency Board unanimously (5-0) Approved Consent Calendar Items 26-27, as follows:

- 26. <u>APPROVED MINUTES FOR JOINT SPECIAL AND REGULAR CITY COUNCIL AND SPECIAL REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY MEETING OF APRIL 7, 2004</u>
- 27. <u>APPROVED MINUTES FOR JOINT SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL AND SPECIAL</u> REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY MEETING OF APRIL 14, 2004

### Redevelopment Agency Action

#### **CONSENT CALENDAR:**

<u>Action:</u> On a motion by Agency Member and seconded by Agency Member, the Agency Board unanimously (5-0) <u>Approved</u> Consent Calendar Item 28, as follows:

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 15 –

## 28. <u>AWARD CONTRACT FOR MORGAN HILL REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AUDIT</u> SERVICES FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDING JUNE 30, 2004, 2005 AND 2006

<u>Action:</u> <u>Authorized</u> the Executive Director to Execute a Contract, Not to Exceed \$17,169, for Annual Audit Services Provided by Moss, Levy and Hartzheim for the three years ending June 30, 2004, 2005, and 2006.

### City Council Action (Continued)

### **CONSENT CALENDAR:**

**Action:** On a motion by Council Member Tate and seconded by Council Member Sellers, the City

Council unanimously (5-0) Reconsidered Item 29.

**Action:** On a motion by Council Member Tate and seconded by Council Member Sellers, the City

Council unanimously (4-0, with Chang absent) Approved Consent Calendar Item 29, as

follows:

### 29. ADOPT ORDINANCE NO. 1668, NEW SERIES

<u>Action: Waived</u> the Reading, and <u>Adopted</u> Ordinance No. 1668, New Series, and <u>Declared</u> That Said Title, Which Appears on the Public Agenda, Shall be Determined to Have Been Read by title and Further Reading Waived; Title as Follows: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO ORDINANCE NO. 1605, NEW SERIES, TO AMEND THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT FOR APPLICATION MP-00-02: EAST DUNNE - GREWAL TO ALLOW FOR A FIFTEEN (15) MONTH EXTENSION OF TIME (APN 728-11-026).

### City Council and Redevelopment Agency Action

### **PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

# 30. <u>ZONING AMENDMENT, ZAA-01-05: MONTEREY-SOUTH VALLEY DEVELOPERS/GATEWAY CENTER</u>

Council Member Chang excused herself from this item and stepped down from the dais.

City Manager Tewes announced that this will be the last staff report presentation to be made by Director of Community Development Bischoff, as his retirement is imminent. He thanked Mr. Bischoff for his excellent work and outstanding professionalism during his many years as head of the Community Development Department.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 16 –

Mr. Bischoff thanked the Council and the staff for the last 18 years of serving the city, and presented the staff report on this item as included in the agenda packet. He made one correction to the staff report, stating that the Planning Commission vote should be corrected to 7-0 for approval.

Mayor Pro Tempore Sellers stated that he had originally opposed this project. He noted that he has used the facility and has observed that the traffic flow pattern is counter-intuitive and meandering, and asked if there would be directional signs to assist customers to find their way through the center to the drivethru of the coffee shop.

Council Member Carr asked that the center median be extended further south to prevent northbound traffic from attempting to make unsafe entry into the Center across southbound traffic on Monterey Road. He requested that this be done as soon as possible.

Mr. Bischoff responded that the median will be extended further south on Monterey to eliminate this problem. He invited the developer to address the Council.

Scott Schilling, of South Valley Developers, presented his proposed amendments of the plan to the Council. He stated that this amendment proposes a use that is more upscale than a fast food restaurant. They currently have a customer interested in such a use. He hopes that one key anchor tenant will help attract another anchor tenant. He agreed that the current traffic circulation is awkward, but the new layout will correct some of those difficulties with traffic flow and circulation would be improved. He noted that the buildings are pushed closer to Monterey Road, and there is a 25 foot drive aisle provided. Also, the service of the coffee shop drive thru has a turn over time of about 2 minutes, which is half of what a fast food restaurant's turn over time is for the drive thru. Areas have also been provided that are suitable for restaurant use in the future with outdoor patio areas. There is a wall placed along side the drive thru which provides a screen on Monterey Road. He stated that the median on Monterey Road will be extended south past Parcel 2 to eliminate the unsafe entry to the Center. The parking would currently be completed to Parcel 3, though they hope to be able to complete both sections at the same time if possible. There will be more buffering and landscaping than is required by code in the parking areas and parking islands. Also, there are added patio and landscaping around the buildings, with a wider greenbelt buffer on the Monterey Road side.

Mayor/Chairman Kennedy opened the public hearing.

No comments being offered, the public hearing was closed.

Mayor Pro Tempore Sellers stated that it looks like there are two ways to access the drive thru, and asked that signs be placed to direct traffic. His previous objections to this project in its original submission were based on the AM-PM portion and products that were not best for the school age children of the area; but now he supports this project in its amended form, and commended the developer on a good job.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 17 –

Council Member Tate expressed his concern about changing Parcel 4 from office to retail. He likes the original plan to include this mixed use, and would rather see it changed later if there is a market for the change and retail is all that can be placed in this location.

Mr. Schilling explained that it is a matter of the time and cost involved in obtaining a zoning amendment; and he is asking for this flexibility in order to avoid further time and expense. If he is approached by a retailer this approval would give them the freedom to work with staff and not have to return for Council approval. Currently it is being planned as an office building, but it is would be nice to have the flexibility if a key tenant was interested in the space. If it is decided to develop it as retail space, it would be a single story similar to the design shown in the PUD. The current plan is to keep it as office space if at all possible to retain the mix of uses within the Center.

Council Members Carr and Tate expressed the desire that the mix of uses be retained if at all possible to avoid this becoming just another strip mall.

Council Member Carr requested that in future preparation of staff reports, the Planning Commission minutes be attached so the Council has the full information on the Planning Commission decision.

Action: On a motion by Council Member Tate and seconded by Council Member Carr, the City Council unanimously (4-0, with Chang absent) Waived the Reading in Full of the Zoning Amendment Ordinance No. 1672, New Series.

Action: On a motion by Council Member Tate and seconded by Council Member Carr, the City Council Introduced the Zoning Amendment Ordinance No. 1672, New Series by Title Only, as follows: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO ORDINANCE NO. 1549, NEW SERIES, TO ALLOW AMENDMENT OF THE APPROVED GATEWAY CENTER PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT LOCATED AT 18605 MONTEREY ROAD. (APPLICATION ZAA-01-05: MONTEREY – SOUTH VALLEY DEVELOPERS) (APN 764-10-004), by the following roll call vote: AYES: Carr, Kennedy, Sellers, Tate; NOES: None; ABSTAIN: None; ABSENT: Chang.

# 31. <u>AMENDMENT OF MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING LIMITATIONS ON ADVERTISING OF TOBACCO PRODUCTS</u>

Council Member Chang returned to the dais.

City Attorney Leichter presented the staff report as provided in agenda packet.

Mayor/Chairman Kennedy opened the public comment.

No comments being offered, the public comment was closed.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 18 –

Action:

On a motion by Council Member Sellers and seconded by Council Member Carr, the City Council unanimously (5-0) <u>Waived</u> the Reading in Full of Ordinance No. 1673, New Series Amending Section 18.76.020(56.5) and 18.76.250 of the Municipal Code.

Action:

On a motion by Council Member Sellers and seconded by Council Member Carr, the City Council <u>Introduced</u> Ordinance No. 1673, New Series by Title Only, as follows: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL ADDING SECTION 18.76.020(56.5) (Definitions – Tobacco Advertising Sign) AND AMENDING SECTION 18.76.250 (Commercial and Industrial Zone Signs – Window Signs) OF CHAPTER 18.76 (Sign Code) OF TITLE 18 (Zoning) OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL REGARDING REGULATION OF TOBACCO ADVERTISING SIGNS), by the following roll call vote: AYES: Carr, Chang, Kennedy, Sellers, Tate; NOES: None; ABSTAIN: None; ABSENT: None.

### City Council Action

### **OTHER BUSINESS:**

### 32. COYOTE VALLEY SPECIFIC PLAN – MORGAN HILL PARTICIPATION

Director of Community Development Bischoff presented the staff report as provided in the agenda packet. He stated that one item that was not included in the agenda packet is that the San Jose representatives intended to come up with a third alternative plan by the end of September. To try and meet this goal, they are scheduling several meetings and workshops to be held at least once a month between now and September. The workshops will be used as a decision making forum, and the City will have opportunities for significantly improving the communication process.

Council Member Tate stated that he had requested this item be placed on tonight's agenda. He is not ready to say we should take legal action against the City of San Jose because they seem unwilling to allow the City of Morgan Hill to have a seat at the table with them; however, they need to hear that these decisions will have a significant impact on Morgan Hill air quality, traffic, schools, and so forth; and those impacts will come down to creating legal impacts on us down the road. The question is how we prevent these impacts by getting them to consider our needs up front. He feels we need to use something stronger than a request letter to focus the San Jose City Council's attention on the fact that repercussions could be avoided if we can be involved in the decision making. He is looking for a way to bring their attention to what the potential impacts are for the future of Morgan Hill.

Mayor Kennedy stated that he will be meeting with San Jose Mayor Gonzales in about two weeks and also with Council Member Williams. He would like the Council to let him know what message they want him to deliver to the City of San Jose.

Mayor Pro Tempore Sellers stated his belief that even if we have a seat at the table we won't be able to significantly alter the direction that this task force is taking; although we will be able to enlighten them

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 19 –

to the potential impacts of their decisions. Although we may have to pursue legal options; if we are at the table, we may be able to avoid problems in the future. At the Task Force meeting it was pointed out that Morgan Hill residents know more about Coyote Valley than San Jose residents. He encouraged the Mayor to continue to pursue this issue, and recalled that at one of the first meetings they had with Mayor Gonzales, he pledged cooperation. Now, six years later, it seems we can't even get a response to a letter within three weeks. This does not bode well for the process. We need to get the message across that it is good for all concerned to let us participate.

Council Member Tate suggested that all Council Members speak to their colleagues in San Jose and bring this issue to their attention.

Mayor Kennedy expressed his concern that if they build the industrial areas first, the impact on our housing market from Coyote Valley workers needing housing will put such a tremendous demand on housing that it will force Morgan Hill families out of the market.

Mayor Pro Tempore Sellers noted that he is very concerned about the school being inadequate to handle the demand, and that San Jose needs to do something to pick up the slack in this area.

Council Member Tate expressed concern about the air quality issues that will arise.

Council Member Carr stated that health care is also an issue to be considered. He also suggested that if we are going to continue to be rebuffed we need to take the information that has been formally presented, review it as if it were an EIR, and send formal comments to the Task Force. We should schedule this in a workshop setting, and invite Supervisor Don Gage to attend, along with the Morgan Hill Unified School District, the Gavilan College District, and the City of Gilroy. We need to bring the voice of the South County to the table. Don Gage has a seat at the table already, and can be there to represent us if we can't get a seat of our own.

Mayor Kennedy suggested that the Planning Staff and Planning Commissioners send representatives to attend all Task Force meetings to make sure we know what is happening and where it is appropriate to provide input into the process. He did not feel it was appropriate for a Council Member to attend as just a person in the crowd with no voice, but it is important to keep track of what is going on so the Council can act if there is something that needs action.

Mr. Bischoff responded the Planning Commissioner Engles has been attending some of the meetings, and a member of the Planning staff has also been attending the Technical Advisory meetings.

Council Member Tate stated that he feels like this is acquiescing, and that we need to make a strong effort to get a seat of our own at the table, and not back off on this issue.

Council Member Chang stated that she agrees with Council Member Carr's suggestion of gathering a larger force composed of Supervisor Gage, MHUSD, Gavilan College District and the City of Gilroy to initiate a discussion and request a seat as a group. Since Supervisor Gage already has a seat on the Task

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 20 –

Force, we should ask him to talk with Mayor Gonzales and get us a seat on the Task Force. At the very least we should ask him to be our representative on the Task Force.

Council Member Carr stated that he understands Council Member Tate's sense of acquiescence. His idea is to ask Supervisor Gage to represent us at the table in pleading our case that South County needs a representative at the table other than himself; or for him to carry the issues that we have to the table for us. We could do that and still continue to push to get a seat for ourselves. Letters should be sent to all Task Force Members, San Jose City Council Members and perhaps we would find a sympathetic ear.

Mayor Kennedy stated that we should set up a workshop agenda item, and request attendance by Supervisor Gage, Gavilan College Board, and the School Board or their representatives to sit around the table and discuss this issue.

Council Member Carr stated that this should not be an informal meeting to air concerns, but a formal review of what has been presented to date with a formal response of quantifiable information to present to Supervisor Gage, as would be done if this were an EIR review.

Mayor Kennedy asked if it would make sense to have Mr. Bischoff prepare such a summary or review.

City Manager Tewes responded that this level of review would have budgetary impact, and that he will prepare information for the Council to provide them with options to review.

Council Member Carr stated that in a previous meeting with the San Jose staff their attitude was "they agreed to disagree" with us, and that is not satisfactory. They are unwilling to even engage in a discussion, so we need to clearly lay out our case.

Mayor Kennedy suggested a preview meeting with our staff before inviting Supervisor Gage so we are prepared and have our agenda in place before meeting with the Supervisor.

Council Member Carr stressed that San Jose has stepped up their pace, so we can't take too long to get this done. There is also the factor of not knowing how long it will take to get on the Supervisor's calendar

Council Member Chang stated that if we make a special request to Supervisor Gage, we should be able to talk with him very soon. We could use the concerns that were laid out at the last workshop.

Mayor Kennedy agreed that this was a good suggestion, and that it should be put into the form of a summary to use as the basis of the meeting with Supervisor Gage, inviting the Morgan Hill Unified School District, City of Gilroy, and Gavilan College representatives to participate.

By consensus the Council agreed on this approach.

Mayor Kennedy opened the public comment.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 21 –

Mr. Jim Arthur stated that he recently moved to Morgan Hill, and one of the reasons he moved was to get away from the types of problems this project is going to create where he now lives. He is not happy to hear that it will be in his backyard again. He went to the last task force meeting and was taken aback by the arrogance of what is going on. Questions were raised by residents of Coyote Valley, and he had a hard time understanding what is going on and why this is on a fast track now. The scope of the project is mind-boggling and scary. He doesn't feel Supervisor Gage is sympathetic, and is pro growth. He stated that the Council needs to escalate what they are going to do. They need to let the local citizens know what is going on, because a lot of people don't understand what is going on with this expansion. They need a movement of local people. It will affect everyday life of people in South County. He doesn't know how to do that, but we need to get the word out to people to make them understand what is being proposed in this project. They are going to make a decision by the end of the year and break ground by 2006. It will happen, and the scope is open for discussion. We should get this information out to the citizens of Morgan Hill, Gilroy and Hollister.

Mayor Kennedy thanked him for his input and asked to meet with him and get his thoughts and perspective.

Ms. Jessica Vernon addressed the Council and stated that she also recently moved to Morgan Hill. She is concerned about the lack of public awareness that 80,000 people will be added to an area not able to handle that many people. She asked if this has been brought up in a public forum through the San Jose Mercury News. The largest impact will be on citizens in Morgan Hill and not San Jose. It is disturbing that we do not have a seat on this task force. More publicity might help. She also was at the task force meeting on Monday, and this is rapidly accelerating. There is a need for more community awareness. She handed out fliers in her own neighborhood of Mission Ranch yesterday. People don't realize what the impact will be on the community. Crime is also another aspect to consider. She wanted to know how we as citizens can help with this. She reported that Pat Dando did express more concern for impact on South County, and that she may be someone to contact about getting a seat on the task force.

Mayor Kennedy asked if he might be able to meet with her also for further input.

Council Member Tate noted that the perspective of the Council Members of the City of San Jose is that we are so much smaller in size than San Jose. We need to find a way to make them pay attention to us.

Mayor Pro Tempore Sellers has been in touch with the Chief Editorial Writer of the Mercury News, who has stated that there should be more representation from the South County on the task force.

No further public comments were offered, and the public comment was closed.

Action:

City Council consensus <u>Directed</u> staff to prepare a summary of the concerns expressed at the last workshop on this issue and arrange a meeting to discuss them with Supervisor Gage, inviting the Morgan Hill Unified School District, City of Gilroy, and Gavilan College representatives to participate. Every effort will be made to obtain a seat of representation for the City of Morgan Hill on the Task Force.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 22 –

# 33. <u>AMENDMENT TO AGREEMENT FOR LAND USE PLANNING CONSULTING SERVICES</u>

Director of Community Development Bischoff presented the staff report.

Council had no questions on this item. Mayor Kennedy once again complimented Mr. Bischoff on his professionalism and thanked him for his many years of excellent service to the city reiterating that is has been a pleasure and honor to work with him.

Action:

On a motion by Council Member Tate and seconded by Council Member Sellers, the City Council unanimously (5-0) <u>Approved</u> the Amendment to Contract with Kenneth R. Schreiber Adding \$14,410 for Land Use Consulting Services in Conjunction with the Urban Limit Line Study.

### City Council and Redevelopment Agency Action

### **OTHER BUSINESS:**

### 34. CITY COUNCIL/REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY SUMMER MEETING SCHEDULE

Council reviewed the Council Meeting Schedule for the months of June, July and August, 2004.

Mayor Pro Tempore Sellers stated that he has a major family event occurring in late June and can't be at the meeting of June 23. He asked if the budget would be approved at that meeting, or if it is just a "placeholder", in which case he will continue with his plans.

City Manager Tewes stated that at this time it is not known what the agenda items will be for June 23, but this date on the budget calendar is a "back-up" date for approval of the budget. He also stated that the Council may want to consider cancellation of the first meeting in July because of the Independence Day holiday.

Mayor Kennedy stated that there will be two delegations visiting Morgan Hill for the 4<sup>th</sup> of July and will be here during that week, so he would like to have a meeting on July 7<sup>th</sup> so he can invite them to attend and be introduced.

Mayor Kennedy stated that he has a vacation planned in August, but did not have the dates with him tonight.

After further discussion, it was the consensus of the Council Members that the meeting in June would be left as scheduled for now until it is determined if it will be needed for the budget approval; and that there would be cancellations in August to allow the Mayor to continue with his scheduled vacation. The August cancellation date(s) will determined after he submits the dates of his vacation to the City Clerk.

No comments were offered by the public on this item.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 21, 2004 Page - 23 –

### Action:

City Council/Agency Board consensus (5-0) <u>Directed</u> staff to adjust the meeting schedule in August based on the dates to be provided by the Mayor; which resulted in the cancellation of the August 4, 2004 meeting. All other summer meeting dates to remain as scheduled, but the meeting of June 23<sup>rd</sup> may be subject to cancellation depending on the final approval of the Budget.

#### FUTURE COUNCIL-INITIATED AGENDA ITEMS

Council Member Chang requested that there be a discussion of the Status of the Soccer Complex; and direction given as to whether the Soccer Complex Sub-Committee, to which she and Mayor Pro Tempore Sellers were previously appointed, should be activated to participate in the discussions occurring on this issue.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, Mayor/Chairman Kennedy adjourned the meeting at 9:58 p.m.

MINUTES RECORDED AND PREPARED BY:

MOIRA MALONE, DEPUTY CITY CLERK/DEPUTY AGENCY SECRETARY